

International Journal of Information & Network Security (IJINS) Vol.2, No.1, February 2013, pp. 68~77 ISSN: 2089-3299

# Split-Window LST Algorithms Estimation From AVHRR/NOAA Satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) Using Gaussian Filter Function

# Mohammed Lahraoua, Naoufal Raissouni, Asaad Chahboun, Abdelilah Azyat and Nizar Ben Achhab

Innovation & Telecoms Engineering, Research Group. Remote Sensing & Mobile GIS Research Unit. National School for Applied Sciences Tetouan-Tangier. University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE: www.uae.ma), Morocco. m\_lahraoua@yahoo.fr ; nraissouni@uae.ma

# Article Info

Article history:

Received Sept 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 Accepted Oct 21<sup>th</sup>, 2012

#### Keyword:

Land Surface Temperature Split-window algorithm MODTRAN 4.0 AVHRR/NOAA Gaussian Filter Function.

# ABSTRACT

A study has been carried out using MODTRAN 4.0 radiative transfer code simulations to calculate the brightness temperatures expected at the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) on board the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NOAA satellites series (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19) by using Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) instead of filter Normalized Filter obtained from NOAA agency. The outputs of applying MODTRAN 4.0 are values of atmospheric parameters obtained by mathematical convolution using GRF and GF Filters. A detailed analysis of the total error in LST,  $\delta_{Total(Ts)}$ , in function of AVHRR/NOAA satellites, shows that the algorithms are able to estimate accurate LST between a minimum of 1.256 K and a maximum of 1.415 K with amplitude of about 0.159 K. The validations show also that the algorithms are capable to produce LST with a standard deviation lower than 1.554 K and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) lower than 1.558 K. This result gives the opportunity to use the filter GF instead of filter Normalized Filter obtained from NOAA agency, in other studies by creation of GF filters centered in any region of the electromagnetic.

> Copyright © 2013 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved.

# **Corresponding Author:**

Naoufal Raissouni, National School for Applied Sciences of Tetuan, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Innovation & Telecoms Engineering Research Group. Remote Sensing & Mobile GIS Unit, Mhannech II, B.P 2121 Tetuan, Morocco. Email: nraissouni@uae.ma

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Land Surface Temperature (LST) is one of the most key parameters in the physics of land surface processes, combining surface atmosphere interactions and the energy fluxes between the atmosphere and the ground surface [1-4]. It is used in many applications, such as evapotranspiration modeling [5-16], estimating soil moisture [17-22], and climatic hydrological and ecological studies [23-32]. An accurate LST retrieval also enables an analysis of the global surface temperature and its variability within a long period of time [33-36]. Some of the major research are related to the removal from remotely sensed data of the effects caused by atmospheric attenuation, land surface emissivity, and topography [37-47]. In 1998, Czajkowski et al. have started treating the influence of the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) filter functions on surface temperature estimation from the Split-Window (SW) approach. In the present paper, an analysis of total error correction in pseudo-validation using MODTRAN simulation for Administration (NOAA) satellites series (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) on the SW-LST algorithms performance has been carried out.

Journal homepage: http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/ IJINS

# 2. SW-LST Algorithms

The SW method was originally proposed to estimate Sea Surface Temperature (SST) from satellite measurements based on the differential absorption in two adjacent infrared channels, and then was extended to land surface. Many papers have used this technique to extract SST and LST [37;48-52]. Recent LST retrieval algorithms cited in the bibliography are based on the radiative transfer equation and the SW forms. The theory applied in these SW-LST algorithms can be found in [37;41;46;48;53-57;57]. In our case, the SW-LST algorithm structure proposed by Sobrino and Raissouni [53] has been used, which takes into account the emissivity and water vapor effects:

$$T_{s} = T_{4} + c_{1}(T_{4} - T_{5}) + c_{2}(T_{4} - T_{5})^{2} + c_{0} + (c_{3} + c_{4}W)(1 - \varepsilon) + (c_{5} + c_{6}W)\Delta\varepsilon$$
(1)

where Ts is the surface temperature (in K), T4 and T5 are the at-sensor brightness temperatures of the AVHRR/NOAA thermal Channels 4 and 5 (in K),  $\epsilon$ 4 and  $\epsilon$ 5 are emissivity estimates for Channels 4 and 5,  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5)/2$  is the mean effective emissivity,  $\Delta \epsilon = (\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5)$  is the emissivity difference, W (g cm<sup>-2</sup>), is the total amount of the columnar atmospheric water vapor. Finally, c0 to c6 are the SW algorithm coefficients, obtained using MODTRAN 4.0 radiative code simulation [58].

#### 3. MODTRAN 4.0 Simulations and Filter Functions

MODTRAN 4.0 radiative code is used to calculate the brightness temperatures expected at the AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) thermal Channels 4 and 5 for 54 different atmospheric situations. The profiles of temperature for these situations were obtained from the radiosoundings extracted neatly from the Television InfraRed Observation Satellite (TIROS) Operational Vertical Sounder (TOVS) Thermodynamic Initial Guess Retrieval (TIGR) database [59]. The calculations have been done for a large gradient of temperatures (i.e., T-5, T, T+5, T+10, and T+20, T is the first boundary layer temperature of the atmosphere), five different view angles (i.e.,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $10^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $30^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$ ), 54 atmospheric water vapor (W) values at nadir (i.e., Wmin = 0.15 g.cm-2 and Wmax = 4.65 g cm-2), and 100 emissivities of spectral responses of several types of surfaces extracted from the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) spectral library [60].

The outputs of applying MODTRAN 4.0 radiative code are values of atmospheric parameters: atmospheric transmittance ( $\tau$ ), atmospheric downwelling radiance ( $L_{atm}^{\downarrow}$ ) and atmospheric upwelling radiance ( $L_{atm}^{\uparrow}$ ), obtained by mathematical convolution using filter functions corresponding to Channels 4 and 5 of AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) for Normalized Filters.

The effective wavelength ( $\lambda_{eff}$ , in µm) (in Eq. 2) is a spectral channel corresponds to the maximum value of the filter function (*f*). Table 1, §5 shows the values of  $\lambda_{eff}$  corresponding to the considered AVHRR Channels 4 and 5.

$$\lambda_{\rm eff} = \frac{\int_{\lambda_{\rm min}}^{\lambda_{\rm max}} f(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda}{\int_{\lambda_{\rm min}}^{\lambda_{\rm max}} f(\lambda) d\lambda}$$
(2)

# 4. Numerical Coefficients and Sensitivity Analysis

The SW-LST algorithm coefficients  $c_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) [see Eq. (1), § 2] were obtained from the minimization of 135000 simulation data (54 atmospheric profiles, 5 T values, 100 emissivities, 5 view angles) included in the constructed database for the AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19).

First, in order to quantify the impact of each error source on the SW-LST algorithm, a sensitivity analysis was carried out in order to examine the performance of the developed methodology under different meteorological conditions and land cover types. On the basis of the error theory, the following equation has been considered:

$$\delta_{\text{Total}}(\mathbf{T}_{s}) = \sqrt{\delta_{\text{alg}}^{2} + \delta_{\text{NE}\Delta E}^{2} + \delta_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \delta_{W}^{2}}$$
(3)

where  $\delta alg$  is the standard deviation associated with the algorithm and  $\delta NE\Delta T$ ,  $\delta \epsilon$  and  $\delta W$  are the contribution to the total error due to the uncertainties for at-sensor temperatures, land surface emissivity and atmospheric water vapor, respectively, and they are given by:

$$\delta_{\text{NE}\Delta\text{E}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{s}}}{\partial T_{4}}\right)^{2} e^{2}(T_{4}) + \left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{s}}}{\partial T_{5}}\right)^{2} e^{2}(T_{5})}$$
(4)

$$\delta_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial \varepsilon_{4}}\right)^{2}} e^{2}(\varepsilon_{4}) + \left(\frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial \varepsilon_{5}}\right)^{2} e^{2}(\varepsilon_{5})$$
(5)

$$\delta_{\rm W} = \left(\frac{\partial T_{\rm s}}{\partial \rm W}\right) e(\rm W) \tag{6}$$

Thus, assuming typical values for the different errors,  $e(T_4) = e(T_5) = 0.05$  K,  $e(\epsilon_4) = e(\epsilon_5) = 0.005$  and e(W) = 0.5 g.cm<sup>-2</sup>.

#### 5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

# 5.1. AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) SW algorithms coefficients gaussian referential and gaussian filter centred at the corresponding sensor effective wavelength

Table 1 shows the SW coefficients ( $c_0$  to  $c_6$ ) obtained from MODTRAN 4.0 radiative code simulations and statistical regressions that can be used to estimate LST from thermal infrared sensors of AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19). The calculations have been made using Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) centred at corresponding sensor effective.

The calculations have been made using the types of the spectral filter functions. Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) with the corresponding AVHRR Channels 4 and 5 effective wavelengths  $\lambda_{4eff}$  (µm) and  $\lambda_{5eff}$  (µm).

| Table 1. Split-Window algorithm coefficients (c <sub>0</sub> to c <sub>6</sub> ) for AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, |
|---|
| 17, 18, 19) obtained using: Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) centred at                                     |
| corresponding sensor effective. Correlation coefficient (R).  |

| NOAA | Filter | $c_0(K)$ | $c_1$ | $c_2(K^{-1})$ | c <sub>3</sub> (K) | $c_4(K.cm^2.g^{-1})$ | $c_5(K)$ | $c_6(K.cm^2.g^{-1})$ | R    |
|------|--------|----------|-------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|------|
| 7    | GRF    | 0.021    | 1.627 | 0.293         | 58.0               | -0.33                | -117     | 7.77                 | 0.95 |
| /    | GF     | 0.495    | 1.827 | 0.322         | 56.9               | -0.20                | -125     | 8.49                 | 0.96 |
| 9    | GRF    | 0.112    | 1.727 | 0.301         | 57.7               | -0.34                | -122     | 8.53                 | 0.96 |
| 9    | GF     | 0.570    | 1.664 | 0.300         | 58.5               | -0.51                | -113     | 6.22                 | 0.95 |
| 11   | GRF    | 0.065    | 1.758 | 0.277         | 57.7               | -0.19                | -123     | 8.98                 | 0.95 |
| 11   | GF     | 0.445    | 1.729 | 0.318         | 57.7               | -0.36                | -120     | 7.55                 | 0.95 |
| 12   | GRF    | -0.003   | 1.701 | 0.290         | 56.7               | 0.06                 | -143     | 14.08                | 0.95 |
| 12   | GF     | -0.110   | 1.266 | 0.308         | 60.0               | -0.87                | -107     | 6.03                 | 0.93 |
| 14   | GRF    | -0.018   | 1.492 | 0.262         | 57.6               | -0.17                | -121     | 9.70                 | 0.94 |
| 14   | GF     | 0.097    | 1.224 | 0.243         | 60.0               | -0.83                | -96      | 4.79                 | 0.93 |
| 15   | GRF    | -0.061   | 1.587 | 0.302         | 57.4               | -0.22                | -124     | 9.75                 | 0.95 |
| 15   | GF     | 0.065    | 1.182 | 0.259         | 61.1               | -1.08                | -89      | 2.85                 | 0.93 |
| 16   | GRF    | -0.184   | 1.570 | 0.326         | 56.1               | 0.14                 | -164     | 18.77                | 0.94 |
| 16   | GF     | -0.185   | 1.338 | 0.288         | 60.0               | -0.71                | -117     | 8.38                 | 0.93 |
| 17   | GRF    | -0.059   | 1.587 | 0.284         | 57.6               | -0.20                | -122     | 9.29                 | 0.95 |
| 17   | GF     | 0.265    | 1.521 | 0.274         | 59.1               | -0.59                | -108     | 6.06                 | 0.94 |
| 10   | GRF    | -0.133   | 1.304 | 0.251         | 57.6               | -0.27                | -118     | 10.10                | 0.94 |
| 18   | GF     | 0.127    | 1.228 | 0.236         | 59.3               | -0.69                | -102     | 6.34                 | 0.94 |
| 10   | GRF    | -0.168   | 1.299 | 0.231         | 57.2               | -0.10                | -121     | 11.30                | 0.94 |
| 19   | GF     | 0.227    | 1.276 | 0.237         | 58.4               | -0.49                | -108     | 7.87                 | 0.94 |

ISSN: 2089-3299

The sensitivity analysis results (Table 2), show a small variation amplitude of about 0.03 K in the error due to the minimization,  $\delta_{alg}$  (in K), with values varying between a minimum of 1.04 K and a maximum of 1.07 K. The error due to the noise equivalent delta temperature,  $\delta_{NEAT}$ , is varying with amplitude of about 0.30 K between a minimum of 0.22 K and a maximum of 0.08 K. The error due to the uncertainty of the atmospheric water vapor content,  $\delta_w$ , shows variation amplitude of about 0.14 K between a minimum of 0.02 K and a maximum of 0.16 K.

The error due to the uncertainty of the surface emissivity,  $\delta_{\epsilon}$ , shows variation amplitude of about 0.26 K with a minimum of 0.62 K and a maximum of 0.88 K. Finally, The total error in the LST,  $\delta_{Total(Ts)}$ , is showing variation amplitude of about 0.159 K with a minimum of 1.256 K and a maximum of 1.415 K.

Table 2. The effective wavelengths  $\lambda_{4eff}$  (µm) and  $\lambda_{5eff}$  (µm) for the SW AVHRR Channel 4 and 5.  $\delta_{alg}$  error

due to the minimization,  $\delta_{\text{NE}\Delta T}$  error due to the noise equivalent delta temperature,  $\delta_{\epsilon}$  error due to the uncertainty of the surface emissivity,  $\delta_w$  error due to the uncertainty of the atmospheric water vapor content, and  $\delta_{\text{Total(Ts)}}$  the total error in the LST .

| NOAA    | $\lambda_{4eff}(\mu m)$ | $\lambda_{5eff}$ (µm) | $\delta_{alg}\left(K\right)$ | $\delta_{\text{NE}\Delta T}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\delta_{\epsilon}(K)$ | $\delta_W(K)$ | $\delta_{\text{Total}}(T_s)$ (K |       |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| 7       | 7 10.79                 | 11.9                  | 1.05                         | 0.27                                     | 0.73                   | 0.02          | 1.307                           |       |
| / 10.79 | 10.79                   | 11.9                  | 1.04                         | 0.3                                      | 0.77                   | 0.02          | 1.331                           |       |
| 9       | 10.774                  | 11.85                 | 1.04                         | 0.28                                     | 0.74                   | 0.03          | 1.307                           |       |
| 9       | 10.774                  | 11.65                 | 1.05                         | 0.27                                     | 0.72                   | 0.02          | 1.302                           |       |
| 11      | 10.794                  | 11.891                | 1.05                         | 0.28                                     | 0.75                   | 0.03          | 1.321                           |       |
| 11      | 10.794                  | 11.691                | 1.05                         | 0.28                                     | 0.75                   | 0.02          | 1.321                           |       |
| 12      | 10.857                  | 11.945                | 1.05                         | 0.28                                     | 0.8                    | 0.08          | 1.352                           |       |
| 12      | 10.837                  | 11.945                | 1.07                         | 0.24                                     | 0.68                   | 0.02          | 1.290                           |       |
| 14      | 14 10.81                | 11.982                | 1.06                         | 0.25                                     | 0.72                   | 0.04          | 1.306                           |       |
| 14      |                         | 11.982                | 1.07                         | 0.22                                     | 0.63                   | 0.02          | 1.261                           |       |
| 15      | 10.82                   | 11.926                | 1.05                         | 0.27                                     | 0.74                   | 0.04          | 1.313                           |       |
| 15      | 10.82                   | 11.920                | 1.07                         | 0.22                                     | 0.62                   | 0.02          | 1.256                           |       |
| 16      | 16 10.914               | 11.977                | 1.06                         | 0.28                                     | 0.88                   | 0.16          | 1.415                           |       |
| 10      |                         | 14 11.977             | 1.07                         | 0.24                                     | 0.72                   | 0.03          | 1.312                           |       |
| 17      | 10.797                  | 10.797                | 11.927                       | 1.06                                     | 0.27                   | 0.73          | 0.03                            | 1.315 |
| 17      |                         |                       | 10.797                       | 11.927                                   | 1.06                   | 0.26          | 0.69                            | 0.02  |
| 18      | 10 707                  | 12.016                | 1.06                         | 0.23                                     | 0.69                   | 0.05          | 1.287                           |       |
| 18      | 10.797                  | 12.010                | 1.06                         | 0.22                                     | 0.64                   | 0.02          | 1.258                           |       |
| 19      | 10.793                  | 10 702                | 12.045                       | 1.06                                     | 0.23                   | 0.7           | 0.06                            | 1.292 |
| 19      |                         | 12.045                | 1.06                         | 0.22                                     | 0.66                   | 0.03          | 1.268                           |       |
|         |                         | MIN                   | 1.04                         | 0.22                                     | 0.62                   | 0.02          | 1.256                           |       |
|         |                         | MAX                   | 1.07                         | 0.30                                     | 0.88                   | 0.16          | 1.415                           |       |
|         |                         | MAX-MIN               | 0.03                         | 0.08                                     | 0.26                   | 0.14          | 0.159                           |       |
|         |                         | MEAN                  | 1.06                         | 0.26                                     | 0.72                   | 0.04          | 1.305                           |       |

The comparison between the different SW is shown; the behavior of the algorithms in terms of their errors can be seen. The results show also that the spectral band passes of the two long-wave sensors (AVHRR Channel 4 and Channel 5 of AVHRR/NOAA Series) vary significantly. particularly for each filter.

The result show a small error on LST if the algorithm was applied to the AVHRR/NOAA new generation (NOAA-14, 15, 17, 18 and 19 SW algorithm retrieves LST more accurately than other AVHRR/NOAA series).

An accuracy LST SW algorithm must provide the LST more accurately and give less sensitive to uncertainties in our knowledge of land surface emissivities and atmospheric water vapor content. and to the instrument noise.

# 5.2. SW Generalized sw algorithm

A generalized SW algorithm specifically using to retrieve LST from AVHRR/NOAA series (7. 9. 11. 12. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18 and 19). can be estimated by using two filter functions. In order to apply the filter

function. we followed two procedures to build up the corresponding reliable function filter Generalized NOAAs - Gaussian Filter (G-GF): centered at the corresponding effective wavelength average ( $\lambda_{eff}$ ).

Table 3 compiles the Generalized SW coefficients ( $c_0$  to  $c_6$ ) obtained from MODTRAN 4.0 radiative code simulations and statistical regressions for AVHRR/NOAA satellites. Gaussian Filter (GF) centred at corresponding sensor effective.

Table 3. Generalized Split-Window coefficients ( $c_0$  to  $c_6$ ) for Generalized NOAA Series Gaussian Filter (G-GF). Correlation coefficient (R).

|                |          |       |               | ()                 |                      |          |                      |      |
|----------------|----------|-------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|------|
| Generalized SW | $c_0(K)$ | $c_1$ | $c_2(K^{-1})$ | c <sub>3</sub> (K) | $c_4(K.cm^2.g^{-1})$ | $c_5(K)$ | $c_6(K.cm^2.g^{-1})$ | R    |
| G-GF           | 0.13     | 1.35  | 0.27          | 59.5               | -0.71                | -103     | 5.56                 | 0.94 |

Table 4 shows the corresponding results with total errors lower to  $\delta_{Total(T_s)} = 1.774 \text{ K}$ . with the major contribution to the total error being the uncertainty in the  $\epsilon$ . assumed to be 0.5% for G-GF.

The algorithm can be used to calculate the LST for each AVHRR/NOAA series (7. 9. 11. 12. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18 and 19) with total errors ( $\delta_{Total(Ts)}$ ) lower than 1.774 K.

Table 4. The effective wavelengths  $\lambda_{4eff}$  (µm) and  $\lambda_{5eff}$  (µm) for the SW AVHRR Channel 4 and 5. The effective wavelength difference between AVHRR Channel 4 and Channel 5:  $\Delta\lambda = \lambda_{5eff} - \lambda_{4eff}$  µm. Correlation coefficient (R). The sensitivity analysis: Error due to the minimization ( $\delta_{alg}$ ). Error due to the noise equivalent delta temperature ( $\delta_{NEAT}$ ). Error due to the uncertainty of the surface emissivity ( $\delta_{\epsilon}$ ). Error due to the uncertainty of the atmospheric water vapor content ( $\delta_w$ ). and total error in the Land Surface Temperature ( $\delta_{Total}(T_s)$ ).

| Generalized SW | $\lambda_{4eff}(\mu m)$ | $\lambda_{5eff}$ ( $\mu m$ ) | $\delta_{alg}\left(K\right)$ | $\delta_{\text{NE}\Delta T}\left(K\right)$ | $\delta_{\epsilon}(K)$ | $\delta_W(K)$ | $\delta_{Total}(T_s)$ (K) |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| G-GF           | 1.06                    | 0.24                         | 1.33                         | 0.67                                       | 0.02                   | 1.718         | 1.277                     |

# 5.3. Validation data

We do not have a complete data set of in situ measurements coinciding with all the platforms; thus. we provide a pseudo-validation of AVHRR/NOAA series (7. 9. 11. 12. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18 and 19) using some in situ measurements of LST in coincidence with NOAA 11 and NOAA 12 and total error in the LST.  $\delta$ Total(Ts) NOAA Y/NOAA 11.12.

In order to give an idea of the approximated behavior of the proposed SW algorithms. we have used the database given by Prata (1994). Table 5 describes the conditions in term of water vapor. emissivity. temperature and number of data for Hay and Walpeup in Australia.

Table 5. Atmospheric water vapor content (W) at nadir view (g.cm<sup>-2</sup>). Emissivity (ε) and Land Surface Temperature (LST) Statistics for Hay and Walpeup in situ measurement sites for NOAA 11 and NOAA 12.

|                                      | Hay and Walpeup (NOAA 11) | Hay and Walpeup (NOAA 12) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| $W_{\min(g.cm^{-2})}$                | 0.84                      | 0.84                      |
| W <sub>max (g.cm<sup>-2</sup>)</sub> | 1.18                      | 1.18                      |
| $W_{\text{mean (g.cm}^{-2})}$        | 0.95                      | 0.94                      |
| LST <sub>min</sub> (K)               | 272.35                    | 272.35                    |
| LST <sub>max</sub> (K)               | 318.80                    | 297.55                    |
| LST <sub>mean</sub> (K)              | 286.28                    | 280.42                    |
| ε <sub>min</sub>                     | 0.978                     | 0.978                     |
| ε <sub>max</sub>                     | 0.989                     | 0.980                     |
| ε <sub>mean</sub>                    | 0.980                     | 0.979                     |
| # data                               | 191                       | 118                       |

Table 6 gives validation of the proposed SW algorithms for NOAA 11 and 12 using Hay and Walpeup in situ measurements data and behavior study of the pseudo-validation of SW algorithms for NOAA (7, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) using (G-NF) and (G-GF) Filters.

The results show that the algorithms are capable to produce LST AVHRR/NOAA series with a standard deviation lower than 1.554 K and a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) lower than 1.558 K.

Table 6. Validation of the proposed Split-Window (SW) algorithms for NOAA 11 and 12 using Hay and Walpeup in situ measurements data and behavior study of the pseudo-validation of SW algorithms for NOAA (7, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) using Generalized NOAA Series Filter (G-NF). Generalized NOAA Series Gaussian Filter (G-GF. General statistics: Minimum (Min). Maximum (Max). Average ( $\mu$ ) and Standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ). The effective wavelengths  $\lambda_{4eff}$  ( $\mu$ m) and  $\lambda_{5eff}$  ( $\mu$ m) for the SW AVHRR Channel 4 and 5. The effective wavelength difference between AVHRR Channel 4 and Channel 5:  $\Delta \lambda = \lambda_{5eff} - \lambda_{4eff} \mu m$ . Mean differences (bias) (K). Standard deviation of differences (K). Root Mean Square Error (K)

|                          |         | (                   | GRF                   |                           |                     | GF                 |                          |
|--------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Sites                    | Sensor  | Mean<br>differences | Standard<br>deviation | Root Mean<br>Square Error | Mean<br>differences | Standard deviation | Root Mean<br>Square Erro |
|                          |         | ( <b>K</b> )        | <b>(K)</b>            | ( <b>K</b> )              | (K)                 | (K)                | <b>(K)</b>               |
|                          | NOAA 7  | 0.755               | 1.470                 | 1.425                     | 0.758               | 1.451              | 1.436                    |
| -                        | NOAA 9  | 0.541               | 1.548                 | 1.544                     | 0.539               | 1.554              | 1.558                    |
| A11)                     | NOAA 11 | 0.654               | 1.489                 | 1.458                     | 0.661               | 1.525              | 1.527                    |
| NOA                      | NOAA 12 | 0.683               | 1.457                 | 1.425                     | 0.685               | 1.471              | 1.459                    |
| [) dna                   | NOAA 14 | 0.845               | 1.401                 | 1.387                     | 0.843               | 1.365              | 1.374                    |
| Hay and Walpeup (NOAA11) | NOAA 15 | 0.811               | 1.456                 | 1.471                     | 0.758               | 1.458              | 1.447                    |
| V bui                    | NOAA 16 | 0.799               | 1.389                 | 1.401                     | 0.895               | 1.399              | 1.411                    |
| Hay e                    | NOAA 17 | 0.845               | 1.457                 | 1.448                     | 0.799               | 1.458              | 1.469                    |
| -                        | NOAA 18 | 1.119               | 1.333                 | 1.345                     | 1.108               | 1.348              | 1.354                    |
|                          | NOAA 19 | 1.218               | 1.354                 | 1.361                     | 1.214               | 1.362              | 1.370                    |
|                          | NOAA 7  | 1.169               | 1.202                 | 1.197                     | 1.158               | 1.201              | 1.191                    |
| -                        | NOAA 9  | 1.012               | 1.311                 | 1.285                     | 1.017               | 1.274              | 1.269                    |
| A12)                     | NOAA 11 | 1.025               | 1.301                 | 1.269                     | 1.061               | 1.239              | 1.250                    |
| NOA                      | NOAA 12 | 1.112               | 1.188                 | 1.174                     | 1.099               | 1.181              | 1.165                    |
| [) dna                   | NOAA 14 | 1.117               | 1.158                 | 1.139                     | 1.188               | 1.141              | 1.158                    |
| Valpe                    | NOAA 15 | 1.169               | 1.188                 | 1.148                     | 1.116               | 1.178              | 1.184                    |
| Hay and Walpeup (NOAA12) | NOAA 16 | 1.295               | 1.114                 | 1.121                     | 1.286               | 1.101              | 1.107                    |
| Hay i                    | NOAA 17 | 1.152               | 1.158                 | 1.166                     | 1.177               | 1.145              | 1.135                    |
| Ι                        | NOAA 18 | 1.125               | 1.117                 | 1.109                     | 1.342               | 1.101              | 1.103                    |
|                          | NOAA 19 | 1.124               | 1.112                 | 1.091                     | 1.454               | 1.084              | 1.079                    |

# 6. CONCLUSION

The study result gives the opportunity to use Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) instead of Normalized Filters obtained from NOAA agency, to retrieve the precise SW-LST from satellite thermal data.

The SW-LST algorithm coefficients  $c_i$  (i = 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6) were obtained from the minimization of 135000 simulation data (54 atmospheric profiles. 5 T values. 100 emissivities. 5 view angles) for the AVHRR/NOAA satellites (7. 9. 11. 12. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19). The calculations have been made using Gaussian Referential Filter (GRF) and Gaussian Filter (GF) with the corresponding AVHRR Channels 4 and 5 effective wavelengths  $\lambda_{4eff}$  (µm) and  $\lambda_{5eff}$  (µm). with the corresponding AVHRR Channels 4 and 5 effective

Split-Window LST Algorithms Estimation From AVHRR/NOAA Satellites ..... (LAHRAOUA)

wavelengths. The total error in LST.  $\delta_{\text{Total}(T_s)}$  is showing a variation amplitude of about 0.159 K with a minimum of 1.256 K and a maximum of 1.415 K.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported in part by the Ministry for Higher Education, Management Training and Scientific Research under CSPT Grants for Integration and application of GIS and GPS on mobile systems and Ad-hoc wireless sensor networks for remote sensing algorithm validation projects.

# REFERENCES

- H. Mannstein. "Surface energy budget. surface temperature and thermal inertia." *Remote Sensing Applications in Meteorology and Climatology*. pp. 391-410. 1987.
- [2] P. J. Sellers. F. G. Hall. G. Asrar. D. E. Strebel. and R. E. Murrphy. "The first ISLSCP field experiment (FIFE)." Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. vol. 69. no. 1. pp. 22-27. 1988.
- [3] G. R. Diak and M. S. Whipple. "Improvements to models and methods for evaluating the land-surface energy balance and effective roughness using radiosonde reports and satellite-measured skin temperature data." *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology*. vol. 63. no. 3-4. pp. 189-218. 1993.
- [4] Olioso, A., H. Chauki, D. Couraul. and J. P. Wigneron. "Estimation of evapotranspiration and photosynthesis by assimilation of remote sensing data into SVAT models." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 68. pp. 341-356. 1999.
- [5] V. V. Serafini. "Estimation of the evapotranspiration using surface and satellite data." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 8. pp. 1547-1562. 1987.
- [6] N. Bussieres, P. Y. T. Louie. and W. Hogg. "Progress Report on the Implementation of an Algorithm to Estimate Regional Evapotranspiration Using Satellite Data." Applications of remote sensing in hydrology. Saskaton Saskatchewan. 1990.
- [7] L. Zhang. R. Lemeur. and J. P. Gouthorbe. "A one-layer resistance model for estimating regional evapotranspiration using remote sensing data." Agriculture and Forest Meteorology. vol. 77. pp. 241-261. 1995.
- [8] K. Jang, S. Kang, J. Kim, C. B. Lee, T. Kim, J. Kim, R. Hirata, and N. Saigusa. "Mapping evapotranspiration using MODIS and MM5 Four-Dimensional Data Assimilation." *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 657-673. Mar.2010.
- [9] C. Y. Xu and V. P. Singh. "Evaluation of three complementary relationship evapotranspiration models by water balance approach to estimate actual regional evapotranspiration in different climatic regions." *Journal of Hydrology*. vol. 308. no. 1-4. pp. 105-121. July2005.
- [10] W. W. Verstraeten. F. Veroustraete. and J. Feyen. "Estimating evapotranspiration of European forests from NOAA-imagery at satellite overpass time: Towards an operational processing chain for integrated optical and thermal sensor data products." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 96. no. 2. pp. 256-276. May2005.
- [11] M. C. Zhou, H. Ishidaira, H. P. Hapuarachchi, J. Magome, A. S. Kiem, and K. Takeuchi, "Estimating potential evapotranspiration using Shuttleworth-Wallace model and NOAA-AVHRR NDVI data to feed a distributed hydrological model over the Mekong River basin." *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 327, no. 1-2, pp. 151-173, July2006.
- [12] D. Spano. R. L. Snyder. C. Sirca. and P. Duce. "ECOWAT--A model for ecosystem evapotranspiration estimation." *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. vol. 149. no. 10. pp. 1584-1596. Oct.2009.
- [13] L. Zhou and G. Zhou. "Measurement and modelling of evapotranspiration over a reed (Phragmites australis) marsh in Northeast China." *Journal of Hydrology*. vol. 372. no. 1-4. pp. 41-47. June2009.
- [14] A. Thomas. "Development and properties of 0.25-degree gridded evapotranspiration data fields of China for hydrological studies." *Journal of Hydrology*. vol. 358. no. 3-4. pp. 145-158. Sept.2008.
- [15] A. Chehbouni, D. Lo Seen, E. G. joku. and B. A. onteny. "Examination of the difference between radiative and aerodynamic surface temperatures over sparsely vegetated surfaces." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 58, pp. 177-186. 1996.
- [16] A. Chehbouni, E. G. Njoku, J. P. Lhomme, and Y. H. Kerr. "An approach for Averaging Surface Temperature and Surface Fluxes over Heterogeneous Terrain." *Journal of Climate*. vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1386-1393, 1995.
- [17] T. J. Schmugge. "Remote sensing of surface soil moisture." Journal of Applied Meteorology. vol. 17. pp. 1549-1557. 1978.
- [18] J. C. Price. "The potential of Remotely Sensed Thermal Infrared data to Infer Surface Soil Moisture and Evaporation." Water Resources. vol. 16. pp. 787-795. 1990.
- [19] D. C. A. Uitdewilligen. W. P. Kustas. and P. J. van Oevelen. "Estimating surface soil moisture with the scanning low frequency microwave radiometer (SLFMR) during the Southern Great Plains 1997 (SGP97) hydrology experiment." *Physics* and Chemistry of the Earth. Parts A/B/C. vol. 28. no. 1-3. pp. 41-51. 2003.
- [20] J. Santanello, C. D. Peters-Lidard, M. E. Garcia, D. M. Mocko, M. A. Tischler, M. S. Moran, and D. P. Thoma. "Using remotely-sensed estimates of soil moisture to infer soil texture and hydraulic properties across a semi-arid watershed." *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 79-97. Sept.2007.
- [21] S. Ahmad. A. Kalra. and H. Stephen. "Estimating soil moisture using remote sensing data: A machine learning approach." Advances in Water Resources. vol. 33. no. 1. pp. 69-80. Jan.2010.
- [22] M. T. Schnur. H. Xie. and X. Wang. "Estimating root zone soil moisture at distant sites using MODIS NDVI and EVI in a semi-arid region of southwestern USA." *Ecological Informatics*. vol. In Press. Corrected Proof 2010.
- [23] A. Oltchev, J. Cermak, J. Gurtz, A. Tishenko, G. Kiely, N. Nadezhdina, M. Zappa, N. Lebedeva, T. Vitvar, J. D. Albertson, F. Tatarinov, D. Tishenko, V. Nadezhdin, B. Kozlov, A. Ibrom, N. Vygodskaya, and G. Gravenhorst, "The response of the water fluxes of the boreal forest region at the Volga's source area to climatic and land-use changes." *Physics and Chemistry* of the Earth. Parts A/B/C, vol. 27, no. 9-10, pp. 675-690, 2002.

- [24] T. J. Schmugge and J. C. André. "Land Surface Evaporation: Measurements and Parameterization." New York: Springer-Verlag. 1991.
- [25] S. W. Running, C. Justice, V. Salomonson, D. Hall, J. Barker, Y. Kaufman, A. Strahler, A. Huete, J.-P. Muller, V. Vanderbilt, Z. Wan, and P. eillet. "Terrestrial remote sensing science and algorithms planned for EOS/MODIS." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 17, pp. 3587-3620, 1994.
- [26] M. J. Leblanc, G. Favreau, S. Massuel, S. O. Tweed, M. Loireau, and B. Cappelaere. "Land clearance and hydrological change in the Sahel: SW Niger." *Global and Planetary Change*, vol. 61, no. 3-4, pp. 135-150, Apr.2008.
- [27] A. Jordán. L. Martínez-Zavala. and N. Bellinfante. "Heterogeneity in soil hydrological response from different land cover types in southern Spain." CATENA. vol. 74. no. 2. pp. 137-143. July2008.
- [28] H. Bormann, L. Breuer, T. Gräff, and J. A. Huisman. "Analysing the effects of soil properties changes associated with land use changes on the simulated water balance: A comparison of three hydrological catchment models for scenario analysis." *Ecological Modelling*. vol. 209. no. 1. pp. 29-40. Nov.2007.
- [29] D. Viviroli. M. Zappa. J. Gurtz. and R. Weingartner. "An introduction to the hydrological modelling system PREVAH and its pre- and post-processing-tools." *Environmental Modelling & Software*. vol. 24. no. 10. pp. 1209-1222. Oct.2009.
- [30] Z. Li. W. z. Liu. X. c. Zhang. and F. l. Zheng. "Impacts of land use change and climate variability on hydrology in an agricultural catchment on the Loess Plateau of China." *Journal of Hydrology*. vol. 377. no. 1-2. pp. 35-42. Oct.2009.
- [31] J. B. Fisher, K. P. Tu. and D. D. Baldocchi. "Global estimates of the land-atmosphere water flux based on monthly AVHRR and ISLSCP-II data. validated at 16 FLUXNET sites." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 112. no. 3. pp. 901-919. Mar.2008.
- [32] J. Cho. P. J. F. Yeh. Y. W. Lee. H. Kim. T. Oki. S. Kanae. W. Kim. and K. Otsuki. "A study on the relationship between Atlantic sea surface temperature and Amazonian greenness." *Ecological Informatics*. vol. In Press. Corrected Proof 2008.
- [33] R. R. Nemani and S. W. Running. "Estimation of regional surface resistance to evapotranspiration from NDVI and thermal-IR AVHRR Data." *Journal of Applied Meteorology*. vol. 28. pp. 276-284. 1989.
- [34] A. Melesse. "Spatiotemporal dynamics of land surface parameters in the Red River of the North Basin." Physics and Chemistry of the Earth. Parts A/B/C. vol. 29. no. 11-12. pp. 795-810. 2004.
- [35] M. Langer. S. Westermann. and J. Boike. "Spatial and temporal variations of summer surface temperatures of wet polygonal tundra in Siberia - implications for MODIS LST based permafrost monitoring." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 114. no. 9. pp. 2059-2069. Sept.2010.
- [36] N. Ben Achhab. N. Raissouni. A. Azyat. A. Chahboun. and M. Lahraoua. "High performance computing software package for multitemporal Remote-Sensing computations." *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. vol. 2. no. 5. pp. 360-365. Oct.2010.
- [37] J. C. Price. "Land surface temperature measurements from the split window channels of the NOAA 7 advanced very highresolution radiometer." *Journal of Geophysical Research*. vol. 89. pp. 7231-7237. 1984.
- [38] J. L. Bandfield. "Effects of surface roughness and graybody emissivity on martian thermal infrared spectra." *Icarus*. vol. 202. no. 2. pp. 414-428. Aug.2009.
- [39] S. Boussetta. T. Koike. K. Yang. T. Graf. and M. Pathmathevan. "Development of a coupled land-atmosphere satellite data assimilation system for improved local atmospheric simulations." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 112. no. 3. pp. 720-734. Mar.2008.
- [40] S. D. Prince, S. J. Goetz, R. O. Dubayah, K. P. Czajkowski, and M. Thawley. "Inference of surface and air temperature. atmospheric precipitable water and vapor pressure deficit using Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer satellite observations: comparison with field observations." *Journal of Hydrology*, vol. 212-213, pp. 230-249. Dec.1998.
- [41] C. Ottlé and M. P. Stoll. "Effect of atmospheric absorption and surface emissivity on the determination of land temperature from infrared satellite data." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 14. no. 10. pp. 2025-2037. 1993.
- [42] H. Rahman and G. Dedieu. "SMAC : A simplified method for the atmospheric correction of satellite measurements in the solar spectrum." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 15. no. 1. pp. 123-143. 1994.
- [43] E. Vermote, J. L. D.Tanré, M. Deuzé, and J. J. Herman. "Morcrette. Second simulation of the satellite signal in the solar spectrum. 6S: An overview." *IEEE. Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 675-686, 1997.
- [44] J. A. Sobrino. Z.-L. Li. M. P. Stoll. and F. Becker. "Improvement in the split window technique for land surface temperature determination." *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. vol. 32. pp. 243-253. 1994.
- [45] F. Becker and Z.-L. Li. "Surface Temperature and Emissivity at Various Scales: Definition. Measurements and Related Problems." Remote Sensing Reviews. vol. 12. pp. 225-253. 1995.
- [46] J. A. Sobrino. Z.-L. Li. M. P. Stoll. and F. Becker. "Multi-channel and multi-angle algorithms for estimating sea and land surface temperature with ATSR data." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 17. no. 11. pp. 2089-2114. 1996.
- [47] B. N. Holben. "Characteristics of maximum-value composite images from temporal AVHRR data." International Journal of Remote Sensing. vol. 7. pp. 1417-1434. 1986.
- [48] A. J. Prata. "Land surface temperatures derived from the AVHRR and ATSR : 1 Theory." Journal of Geophysical Research. vol. 89. no. 16. pp. 689-702. 1993.
- [49] F. Becker and Z.-L. Li. "Temperature independent spectral indices in thermal infrared bands." Remote Sensing of Environment. vol. 32. pp. 17-33. 1990.
- [50] J. A. Sobrino. J.-C. Jiménez-Muñoz. J. El-Kharraz. M. Gómez. M. Romaguera. and G. Sòria. "Single-channel and twochannel methods for land surface temperature retrieval from DAIS data and its application to the Barrax test site." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 25. no. 1. pp. 215-230. 2004.
- [51] P. Y. Deschamps and T. Phulpin. "Atmospheric correction of infrared measurements of sea surface temperatures using channels 3.7. 11 and 12mm. Boundary layer Meteor." *Boundary-Layer Met.* vol. 18. pp. 131-143. 1980.
- [52] J. A. Sobrino. C. Coll. and V. Caselles. "Atmospheric correction for land surface temperature using NOAA-11 AVHRR channels 4 and 5." *Remote Sensing of Environment*. vol. 38. pp. 19-34. 1991.
- [53] J. A. Sobrino and N. Raissouni. "Toward remote sensing methods for land cover dynamic monitoring. Application to Morocco." *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. vol. 20. no. 2. pp. 353-366. 2000.

- [54] F. Becker and Z.-L. Li. "Towards a local split window method over land surfaces." International Journal of Remote Sensing. vol. 11. no. 3. pp. 369-393. 1990.
- [55] K. Goïta and A. Royer. "Surface temperature and emissivity separability over land surface from combined TIR and SWIR AVHRR data." *IEEE. Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. vol. 35. pp. 718-733. 1997.
- [56] A. J. Prata. "Land surface temperatures derived from the advanced very high resolution radiometer and the along-track scanning radiometer 2: experimental results and validation of AVHRR algorithms." *Journal of Geophysical Research*. vol. 99. pp. 13025-13058. 1994.
- [57] J. C. Jiménez-Muñoz and J. A. Sobrino. "Split-Window Coefficients for Land Surface Temperature Retrieval From Low-Resolution Thermal Infrared Sensors." *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*. vol. 5. no. 4. pp. 806-809. 2008.
- [58] A. Berk, G. P. Anderson, P. K. Acharya, J. H. Chetwynd, L. S. Bernstein, E. P. Shettle, M. W. Matthew, and S. M. Adler-Golden. "MODTRAN 4 user's manual ." *MA: Air Force Research Laboratory. Space Vehicles Directorate. Air Force Materiel Command. Hascom AFB*, 1999.
- [59] N. A. Scott and A. Chedin. "A fast line by line method for atmospheric absorption computations: The authomatized atmospheric absorption atlas." *Journal of Meteorology*. vol. 20. pp. 802-812. 1981.
- [60] S. J. Hook. "The ASTER Spectral Library." Pasadena. CA: Jet Propulsion Lab. [Online].. vol. Available at http://speclib.jpl.nasa.gov/ 1999.

# **BIOGRAPHY OF AUTHORS**



Mohammed Lahraoua received the Ph.D. degree in Telecommunications and Informatics, in 2011 from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE). degree in Bioinformatics from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE), Tetuan, Morocco, in 1992 and 2004 respectively. Currently, he is a member of Remote-Sensing & Mobile-GIS Unit/Telecoms Innovation & Engineering Research group. His present investigations include remote sensing algorithms, thermal infrared satellite imagery of the earth's surface and the development of remote sensing methods for land cove dynamic monitoring and Grid computing.



Naoufal Raissouni received the M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in physics from the University of Valencia, Spain, in 1997, and 1999, respectively. He has been a Professor of physics and remote sensing at the National Engineering School for Applied Sciences of the University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE) of Tetuan, since 2003. He is also heading the Innovation & Telecoms Engineering research group at the UAE, responsible of the Remote Sensing & Mobile GIS unit.

His research in terests include atmospheric correction in visible and infrared domains, the retrieval of emissivity and su rface temperature from satellite image, huge remote sensing computations, Mobile GIS, Adhoc networks and the development of remote sensing methods for land cover dynamic monitoring.



Asaad Chahboun Ph.D. degree in Telecommunications, in 2011 from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE). degree from Central School of Arts and Businesses in Brussels Belgium, M.S. in Telecommunication Systems from University Abdelmalek Essaadi in 2006. He was Maintenance responsible engineer of radiology and medical imaging materials, at FREELANCE SERVICE enterprise Rabat-Morocco, from 1995 to 2003. Currently, he is a member of Remote-Sensing & Mobile-GIS Unit/Telecoms Innovation & Engineering Research group. His current areas of research are Wireless Sensor Networks, Routing in Ad hoc Network, Network Security, remote sensing, the development of remote sensing methods for land cove dynamic monitoring and Grid computing.



Abdelilah Azyat Ph.D. degree in Telecommunications and Informatics, in 2011 from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE). degree in Informatics, Electronics, Electrotechnics, and Automatics (IEEA) and M.S. degree in Bioinformatics from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE), Tetuan, Morocco, in 1995 and 2004 respectively. Currently, he is a member of RemoteSensing & Mobile-GIS Unit/Telecoms Innovation & Engineering Research group. His research interests include remote sensing, spatiotemporal studies of thermal infrared satellite imagery of the earth's surface and the development of remote sensing methods for land cove dynamic monitoring, GIS and Mobile GIS.



Nizar Ben Achhab Ph.D. degree in Telecommunications and Informatics, in 2011 from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE).degree in Informatics, El ectronics, Electrotechnics, and Automatics (IEEA) and M.S. degree in Bioinforma tics from University Abdelmalek Essaadi (UAE), Tetuan, Morocco, in 1995 and 2004 respectively. Currently, he is a member of RemoteSensing & Mobile-GIS Unit/Telecoms Innovation & Engineering Research group. His present research include remote sensing multitemporal and spatiotemporal studies of thermal infrared satellite imagery of the earth's surface, the in-situ LST measurements and the development of remote sensing methods for land cove dynamic monitoring. It includes also, Grid computing, Multithreading, GPGPU, hardware and software methods for time computing optimizations.